

**TOWN OF HUNTERSVILLE
TOWN BOARD MEETING
MINUTES**

**February 17, 2020
6:00 p.m. – Huntersville Town Hall**

PRE-MEETING

No Pre-meeting was held.

**REGULAR MEETING
TOWN OF HUNTERSVILLE
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

The Regular Meeting of the Huntersville Board of Commissioners was held at the Huntersville Town Hall at 6:00 p.m. on February 17, 2020.

GOVERNING BODY MEMBERS PRESENT: Mayor John Aneralla; Commissioners Melinda Bales, Dan Boone, Brian Hines, Lance Munger, Stacy Phillips and Nick Walsh.

Mayor Aneralla called the meeting to order.

Mayor Aneralla called for a moment of silence.

Maddox Dunker led the Pledge of Allegiance.

MAYOR AND COMMISSIONER REPORTS/STAFF QUESTIONS

Mayor Aneralla

- Next Mayor's Luncheon is tomorrow.
- North Meck Alliance met last week.
- Next meeting of the Metropolitan Transit Commission is next Wednesday.
- Met with Mecklenburg County Manager last week. The state wants to pursue historic designation for the Rosenwald School on Dellwood.

Commissioner Munger

- Attended the Centralina Council of Governments annual meeting last week. Next CCOG meeting is in two months.

Commissioner Phillips

- Announced Georgia Krueger is retiring from the Ada Jenkins Center.

Commissioner Walsh

- Next meeting of the Greenway, Trail and Bikeway Commission is tomorrow night.
- Next Parks & Recreation Commission meeting is Wednesday.
- Provided update on Huntersville Chamber of Commerce events.

Commissioner Bales

- Lake Norman Economic Development Corporation is currently working a total of 25 projects, 15 of which are in Huntersville.
- Next MEAC meeting is February 28.
- Announced upcoming events for area schools.

Commissioner Boone

- Provided update from the Huntersville Ordinances Advisory Board. Next meeting is March 5.
- Provided update from the Lake Norman Chamber of Commerce.
- Encouraged everyone to participate in online survey for the 2040 Plan.

Commissioner Hines

- Next meeting of Charlotte Regional Transportation Planning Organization is Wednesday night.
- Wished his daughter, Lily, a happy birthday.

Mayor Aneralla announced Hopewell High school is hosting event on March 3 for any men who would like to mentor some of their at-risk youth. Attended Black History event at the Bob Blythe Building.

PUBLIC COMMENTS, REQUESTS, OR PRESENTATIONS

Hal Bankirer noted he is not speaking as a member of the Planning Board. Last month you approved the Oak Grove Hill subdivision and did so despite at 6-2 Planning Board vote to deny it. The approval as it was constructed was I believe shortsighted. The motion made, I believe by Commissioner Walsh, had the effect of negating the planning principles and guidance of two small area plans – the Beatties Ford/Mt. Holly-Huntersville Small Area Plan and the Beatties Ford Corridor Small Area Plan. Thus you have potentially opened up the area and the corridor to development of any kind as long as it meets 2030 plan requirements and ordinance requirements. Let me give you just one specific example of the many planning principles that have been negated through your action. To retain the rural feel, the view and the streetscape, the corridor plan states that development will not occur within 100' of Beatties Ford Road, yet Oak Grove Hill comes within 60'. The authors and approving Town Board at that point felt so strongly about this guiding principle that in the SAP itself they provided language urging that this be incorporated into the Town's "regulations." To my knowledge and for whatever reason, this did not happen, so now what does the Town Board do when an application is made to place something else within that 60' and what about 50' or perhaps 30'. You see where this leads. And this is just one example. Admittedly the two small area plans were written a decade or more ago and parts of them are out of sync with a growing Huntersville, but if the Town Board was determined to approve this development you could have done so in a fashion that protected the unaffected guiding principles of the two SAP's and not left it open for what could be a future free for all. You could have requested an update to the plans, you could have suspended development pending a review of parts of the plans, you could have tackled the major principles that are identified as being out of touch and so on. I think you could have done better and we residents deserve better. You could have considered the long-term implications of your approval and not just what was staring you in the face. You could have requested a more detailed review of the application's interaction with the small area plans. You could have asked the Planning staff or the Planning Board to take an even deeper dive, you could have done any number of those things but you did not. Only Commissioner Munger who voted not to approve apparently took the long view. Kudos to Commissioner Munger.

BeeJay Caldwell, 14521 New Haven Drive, addressed the Board concerning the proposed land swap with Nate Bowman. *Refer to written comments attached hereto as Exhibit No. 1.*

AGENDA CHANGES

Commissioner Bales added “Call a public hearing for Monday, March 16, 2020 at 6:00 p.m. at Huntersville Town Hall on Petition #ANNEX19-05, a request by South Creek Construction, Inc., to annex 13.085 acres into the Town of Huntersville,” to the Consent Agenda.

The agenda as amended was approved by unanimous vote.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

None

OTHER BUSINESS

Petition #TA19-07. Petition #TA19-07 is a request by Ryan McDaniels to amend Article 10.7.1 to allow buildings greater than 100,000 sq. ft. located in Corporate Business and Special Purpose Zoning Districts to have wall sign(s) not to exceed 384 sq. ft.

Sierra Saumenig, Senior Planner, entered the Staff Report into the record. *Staff Report attached hereto as Exhibit No. 2.* Planning staff and the Planning Board recommend approval.

Commissioner Walsh made a motion in considering the proposed amendment TA19-07 to amend Article 10.7.1 allowing buildings greater than 100,000 sq. ft. located in Corporate Business and Special Purpose Zoning Districts to have wall signs up to 380 sq. ft. in total, the Town Board finds the requested amendment consistent with Policy ED-2 and Policy ED-14 of the Huntersville 2030 Community Plan. It is reasonable and in the public interest to amend the Zoning Ordinance because allowing buildings 100,000 sq. ft. or larger in size to have wall signs not exceeding 384 sq. ft. allows the signs to be proportional with the building size and easily visible from the street.

Commissioner Bales noted that in the first paragraph Commissioner Walsh stated up to 380 sq. ft.

Commissioner Walsh amended his motion to read “384 sq. ft.” in the first paragraph.

Commissioner Boone seconded motion.

Motion carried unanimously.

Petition #R19-16. Petition #R19-16 is a request by Drew Bowman on behalf of the property owner, to remove the conditional rezoning and revert back to the Rural Zoning District on Parcels 02107199, 02106106, 02106103, 02106104, 02106101, 02106102, 02106105, 02105101, 02105198, 02105102, 02105112, 02105115, 02120106, and 02122107 (split R/TR) and to remove the conditional rezoning and revert back to Transitional Residential on Parcels 02122107 (split R/TR), 02122106, 02122105, 02122104, 02122108, 02122102.

Jack Simoneau, Planning Director, entered the Staff Report into the record. *Staff Report attached hereto as Exhibit No. 3.* Planning staff and the Planning Board recommend approval.

Commissioner Boone made a motion in considering the proposed rezoning Petition #19-16, Bradbury General Rezoning, the Town Board approves based on the amendment being consistent with the Huntersville 2030 Community Plan Policy CD-2 and Article 11, Section 11.4.7(E) of the Huntersville Zoning Ordinance in that the property is returned to low intensity Rural and Transitional Residential consistent with the zoning of the surrounding properties.

Commissioner Hines seconded motion.

Motion carried unanimously.

Petition #18-06. Petition #R18-06 is a request by Ellen and Frank Loncz to modify their NC (CD) existing rezoning plan for 412 S. Old Statesville Road and to rezone the property located at 116 Watkins Street from General Residential to Neighborhood Center - Conditional District.

Sierra Saumenig, Senior Planner, entered the Staff Report into the record. *Staff Report attached hereto as Exhibit No. 4.*

Ms. Saumenig reviewed updates after the public hearing:

- The proposed parking area is now infrequent overflow parking to be grassed. Applicants are requesting a modification to allow gravel parking if the overflow becomes a frequent parking area (Planning Board endorsed).
- With the removal of the proposed gravel lot, storm water comments have been addressed and the site remains under the 12 percent threshold.
- The applicants intend to install a 10' buffer around the property to meet Ordinance requirements.
- The Plan notes that the applicants will meet the Code of Ordinances regarding noise and lists mitigation techniques.
- Flexibility with the pavilion – enclosed or open air with removable sides.
- Driveway off Watkins Street can remain as is (if infrequent).

Staff recommends approval if the following comments are addressed:

- The plan is amended to indicate that if the infrequent parking becomes frequently used, then the applicants will pave the parking lot in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance.
- All minor site plan comments from staff be addressed.

The Planning Board recommended approval by a 5-3 vote.

Commissioner Walsh made a motion to approve the Rezoning Petition #R18-06 with the following conditions: (1) the applicant is required to pave the lot at the rear of the property with 15 parking spaces within six months – the Planning Director can grant an extension for good cause shown; (2) any outdoor amplified speakers be oriented towards Old Statesville Road; (3) the building on the current plans marked proposed event center be enclosed on at least three sides in order to mitigate noise, the open side again oriented towards Old Statesville Road; (4) for any event exceeding 50 guests, an off-duty Huntersville police officer will be hired to enforce the management of the noise level; and (5) all minor site plan comments from staff be addressed in the final plan. The Town Board approval is based on the amendment being consistent with CD-2 and CD-3 of the Huntersville 2030 Community Plan. It is

reasonable and in the public interest to amend the Zoning Ordinance because the property provides useful economic life in preserving a historic property in our downtown and conditions as stated minimize the impact of amplified sound on the surrounding properties or they are necessary to meet the standards of the Zoning Ordinance.

Commissioner Phillips seconded motion.

Ellen Loncz said we agree to most of the conditions. I want to talk about the gravel versus asphalt and the off-duty police officer. I believe that we originally stated that there would be security. We don't know if we will always be able to get an off-duty police officer, so we would like the condition to be off-duty police officer or security guard of some type. We agree with the condition. I just want to read a statement that I've written with my husband about the gravel versus asphalt for your consideration. We previously submitted information including images of historic landmarks with gravel parking. At this time we ask if the Town has properly considered all the variances regarding this subject. After speaking with Stewart Gray, Senior Preservation Planner with Charlotte-Mecklenburg Historic Landmarks Commission, he also highly recommends a gravel parking lot to preserve the historic character of the property. If the Town is able to make an exception, the Town would be helping to foster and preserve the historic character of this property. As property owners we have worked hard to plant trees and create gardens that are symbiotic and represent historic sight lines from the turn of the century. With that in mind we have always wanted to keep building on this natural vegetation so that our neighbors too can benefit from the beauty of the natural buffers and gravel is in the same aesthetic vein, so this is the reason for the gravel. We definitely feel very strongly about this. We want to continue to grow the property as it would have had sight lines in the turn of the century. We are basically prevented from having events on the front lawn of the historic home per the new zoning so we want to make sure that the back end of that property has the sight lines that people can enjoy that they would have seen back in 1913, 1920. We would very much like you to consider that and we would even be agreeable to a deferment on that one point if possible. The three sided structure or building a structure with three different sides, obviously we have to work with the Historic Landmarks Commission on that, but we will be building a structure with four sides, hopefully a barn, and we will work closely with the Historic Landmarks Commission on that point as well as the Planning Department for the Town.

Commissioner Hines said the current parking lot, remind me is it gravel now or is it paved.

Ms. Loncz said it's paved.

Commissioner Hines said in dealing with the Historic Landmarks Commission, since the new building will not be designated historic just the current house, how much say do they have in what you do with that new addition.

Ms. Loncz said everything.

Commissioner Hines said so everything with the property they have a say, any new construction?

Ms. Loncz said any new construction, yes. Not per say on that parking area because that is currently Residential, but anything we build on the land that is designated historic. I want to be clear they are recommending gravel but they don't have jurisdiction over that. We are going to work closely with them to make sure that the new structure mirrors the beauty of the house.....the roof is the same color, the materials are similarly what they would be in terms of aesthetic to match the home.

Commissioner Boone said in the Staff Report it says that you are waiting for some feedback from the Historic Landmarks Commission. Have you gotten any information back from them at this time.

Ms. Loncz said I spoke with Stewart today. He's not going to comment on any of the buildings. Originally we were going to build a pavilion and we heard what everyone had to say about that and we are spending more money to try to build a structure like a barn that will have four sides. We did tear down a historic structure called the milk barn with their permission. It was dumping water into the historic home. We feel that building a barn instead of a pavilion, if they allow us to do that, would be very much in keeping with the historic charm of the home. We spoke with Stewart about that a little bit today, but that's down the road. He said he can't commit to anything that even illustrates what we might do at this point, we have to take it up with them.

Commissioner Boone said you said in one of your answers that you were going to suggest a deferment. What did you exactly mean by that.

Ms. Loncz said just on the gravel part alone. If we could defer on that decision, go forward with the zoning, we really need to be in business, we are failing. If we could ask the Board to defer on that decision alone, the gravel versus asphalt, we would appreciate that consideration.

Commissioner Bales said I don't think that we can defer only one decision.

Angela Beeker, Town Attorney, said I'm not quite sure how we could defer part of it. If we are going to do anything I would recommend that the Board go with the more stringent requirement and let you come back with a modification, but I don't see how you can act on part and defer part because it's conditional district rezoning.

Ms. Loncz said because one of the conditions that we have to accept all of the conditions as is, is that it.

Ms. Beeker said with regards to the parking area, it's my opinion that the Board does not have to accept your ask for modification since the ordinance would require that to be paved, because it seems based on the record that it is used more than just occasionally. As far as the other conditions, yes I think you have to agree and you've indicated that with the security officer modification, you were agreeing to those. The Board doesn't have to agree to that modification request and so I don't see how to set that side separate and apart from the vote.

Ms. Loncz said the overflow parking was used less than once a week last year with 34 events and I think 26 of those were indoors with no overflow parking, so the area is grassed area now so the ordinance as it is now we wouldn't need to necessarily pave or gravel based on the usage now.

Ms. Beeker said it's a matter of interpretation. I had understood that the overflow parking was used 33 times last year, but you're saying it was only used 6 times last year.

Ms. Loncz said we had a total of 34 events last year.

Ms. Beeker said so how often is that parking used.

Ms. Loncz said it's not used frequently at all. It's used really more for employees than anything else. I think once we were called today and asked about this we went back to see how many events because we also provided a TIA study where we counted cars for many events.

Ms. Beeker said so your employees do use that regularly.

Ms. Loncz said they don't have to.....it's not something.....

Ms. Beeker said so the requirement to pave will depend on the frequency of whether it's occasional or not. It's up to this Board to decide what occasional or infrequent means and it would become an enforcement issue if it's not addressed at all in the ordinance. If it's not addressed at all in the ordinance then the regular language of the ordinance is going to apply and it would be up to Mr. Simoneau and his staff to enforce the language that's there and so if it were to be determined that the grass was not surviving or that the use was more than infrequent, there would be an enforcement issue where you could get into something like civil penalties or eventually some sort of action to bring it into compliance.

Ms. Loncz said the current condition states that we would have to pave it in 6 months, so that's based on the information of how many events we had last year. I'm just saying if there isn't a deferment, is there a way to offer a different language there where we wouldn't have to pave in the 6 months where we can come back and petition the Board for the consideration of gravel as we build the structure and get down the road with the Historic Landmarks Commission.

Anthony Roberts, Town Manager, said they are asking for a rezoning of all the property. Could they rezone a portion of the property, leaving out the parking addition.

Ms. Beeker said it is true that it is two separate lots and you could only rezone the primary part, but I would have to ask Jack as far as their ability to use that parking lot. Since it's only zoned residential I don't think they would be able to use it at all. If the Board were to be open to some sort of limited use, I would feel most comfortable if it's clearly stated that it could be used no more than "x" times per year or not regularly used by employees so that it's clear what's allowed and is not allowed if it's not required to be paved.

Mr. Simoneau said if I'm understanding you correctly, that's the area that is not zoned Neighborhood Center today. If that was left out of the rezoning but rest of the property was left in, could they do that. The answer would be yes, but to get to what Angie Beeker just mentioned is that would be zoned Residential so no use, infrequent or whatever, that is commercial in nature would be allowed in that section.

Mayor Aneralla said in the motion one of the conditions was to hire an off-duty Huntersville Police officer. Would you be willing to modify that to other police officers and security.

Commissioner Walsh said I would be happy with modifying that to a law enforcement officer – it could be CMPD, the Sheriff's department, Huntersville, whoever, somebody who actually has the authority out there to enforce. The two things that we heard mostly as feedback were parking issues and noise. A number of noise complaints came in and in order to protect the neighbors from the noise as much as possible we want to make sure speakers are oriented as well as if you have large events that somebody is there, which I read the notes from the Planning Board, you had agreed to an off-duty police officer. I used the word Huntersville Police, but it's fine. The other thing is with regards to the parking we don't have gravel parking in the downtown. We don't really have it anywhere in Huntersville. You've got dumpsters back there or whatever which trucks have to use, you just said you use it once a week, employees park there, so that's not infrequent and in order to keep with the character of the

neighborhood, which by the way this is not a part of the historic property, I think it's important that everybody else has to pave their driveways that you be required to also.

Commissioner Bales said the other thing that Commissioner Walsh stated was the event center enclosed on three sides. The petitioner has already stated that it would be enclosed ideally four sides.

Commissioner Walsh said it becomes a moot point if it's enclosed on four sides, but a minimum three and if it does turn out that way that the orientation of the noise be back towards Old Statesville Road in order to as best we can protect the neighbors.

Commissioner Bales said I just think the language needs to be very clear. Did you say three or more sides in your motion.

Commissioner Walsh said be enclosed on at least three sides in order to mitigate noise.

Commissioner Hines said didn't you also state that the open side has to be oriented towards Old Statesville.

Commissioner Walsh said yes, correct. And the open side be oriented towards Old Statesville Road. The same way as the outdoor speakers. In other words let's have the sound headed back towards Old Statesville Road and away from the neighbors.

Mayor Aneralla said where we really are is back to just the applicant is asking for gravel. The motion has paved. If we approve this with paved, can the applicant come back.

Ms. Beeker said the applicant can always come back and ask for a modification amendment. Is it only if it's denied or if it's acted on at all that there's a time limit. Is it a year that they can't come back. They can't come back more frequent if it's denied. So yes, they could come back with a proposed amendment at some point in the future.

Commissioner Walsh said and I did say that the Planning Director can grant an extension for good cause.

Mr. Loncz said I would just like to make a comment on the frequent/infrequent use of that parking. Employees only park back there when there's an event. We don't park there every day. We don't park in that back field, so it's only used the times we have events where we feel that we might fill up that entire lot with our cars so that's why we use it. We're not parking back there every single day of every single week. The dumpsters were put back there because we thought that would be more efficient than doing the roll-offs that we currently use. We don't currently have a dumpster on site. We wouldn't need a dumpster going forward so that would take that out. As far as changing to state that CMPD, Huntersville, Trooper, anybody that wears a badge or wore a badge, again with the noise ordinance if we hire just off duty security whoever is there it's based on their knowledge of what's clearly soundable. If somebody is playing God Bless America through speakers and you are standing 150' away, you know the words, you know what it's going to say. If it's a song that you've never heard, I don't know how you can judge clearly based on it just being a police officer or it should be just an off duty security that understands what loud and what quiet is.

Commissioner Boone said somebody who you hire I don't think should be responsible to say what's loud and what's not. If a resident has a complaint, Huntersville has to dispatch a Huntersville officer to that location to find out whether you are in compliance with the noise ordinance. I think what you are saying

is not quite correct. It wouldn't be your person that makes that decision, it would be a Huntersville sworn officer.

Ms. Loncz said I think what he's saying is it's subjective based on what officer is on duty. The police were called five times. One was a funeral, there was no amplified sound. We are trying to sift through what this means, how subjective the ordinance is. I think our civil engineers were trying to put a decibel level to it. We can certainly agree to a police officer. We would most likely always try to go with Huntersville but if there is an issue where we can't, one we don't want to have our hands tied to that, a CMPD we can certainly agree to that.

Mayor Aneralla said basically what I'm hearing is that within the Town Limits we don't have any gravel. And if your grass is dying or whatever we deem to be frequent you are going to have to pave it. So hopefully it will be very busy and over time, unless there's a variance which I don't think there's a sense on the Board for that, you are going to have to pave it. So the way it is written right now, the way the motion is, you have six months, maybe something changes that you aren't using it and you can prove to the Board and they can go back to keeping it grass for another six months and after a year you might have some data that says the grass has worked. I think what you are risking right now is if you don't necessarily agree to having this motion, as far as I can tell and I'm not 100 percent, you might have it denied.

Ms. Loncz said we understand. We just wanted to make one more.....you know the Bradford Store and Beaver Dam.....we were just trying to once again ask the Board to consider that.

Mayor Aneralla said I'm a gravel guy, so just want you to know.

Mr. Loncz said this is a very unique building. It is deemed historic, so I'm sure and I guess I could go around the Town of Huntersville to see what other buildings within the Town Center are deemed historic and what kind of parking they have.

Commissioner Boone said I would like to see the driveway gravel. Is there any other property in the downtown area that's not gravel, yes. You can look at the old jail. That's historic property. It has a grass driveway with gravel on it. It used to be if we were trying to do something for our downtown, get some people downtown and some activity, and we are pushing back on this pavement but I think customers and the future customers will dictate whether you pave it or not because social media will say don't go there because the parking is terrible or my feet got muddy, it's hard to walk. I think you would listen to those people very clearly. I wish it was gravel. I wish your motion was gravel, but it's not. I'm going to support Commissioner Walsh's motion to pass this so you guys can get going. I hope you can pave it within six months.

Commissioner Munger said I believe I would be open to an amendment to allow the gravel driveway on that. I don't know if there was an amendment forthcoming on that.

Commissioner Boone said I did not make a substitute motion.

Mayor Aneralla said Commissioner Munger, were you making an amendment to the motion.

Commissioner Munger said I would like to make an amendment to the motion to allow the driveway to be gravel and also just to make sure that we have in there the wording that the off-duty police officer could be from any jurisdiction in the local area.

Commissioner Boone seconded the motion.

Ms. Beeker said what about everything else. Any motion to zone or rezone has to do the consistency statement of reasonableness. I'm assuming you are adopting his statements of consistency and reasonableness as part of your motion.

Commissioner Munger said yes, essentially everything that he stated with changes only to No. 1 and No. 4.

Commissioner Boone said he meaning Commissioner Walsh.

Ms. Beeker said Commissioner Walsh, yes.

Mayor Aneralla said any discussion on the amendment.....five conditions, only the first condition on the original motion is changed from paved to gravel.

Commissioner Hines said I think that this is different than the jail. The jail is Town property and has very few visitors. This access is an old residential neighborhood in our community. The other parking lot that they currently use is not gravel. This is a business, this isn't a civic facility. We don't have any gravel parking lots of businesses in the downtown area. I'm going to side with the original motion.

Mayor Aneralla called for the vote on the amended motion.

Motion failed 2 to 4 – Commissioners Boone and Munger in favor; Commissioners Bales, Hines, Phillips and Walsh opposed.

Mayor Aneralla called for the vote on the original motion.

Motion carried unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA

Approval of Minutes – January 21. Commissioner Hines made a motion to approve the minutes of the January 21, 2020 Regular Town Board Meeting. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Approval of Minutes – February 3, 2020. Commissioner Hines made a motion to approve the minutes of the February 3, 2020 Regular Town Board Meeting. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Transfer of Tax Receipts. In FY 2019, Commerce Station Park was partitioned into a Special Revenue fund. The Town has an interlocal agreement with Davidson and Cornelius to share in the net proceeds associated with Commerce Station Park. This Board action will appropriate General Fund balance of \$792,862 related to prior year receipts of the Park to the Commerce Station Special Revenue fund.

Commissioner Hines made a motion to approve transfer of tax receipts to Commerce Station Park. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Property Tax Refund Report. Commissioner Hines made a motion to approve Property Tax Refund Report from Mecklenburg County. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Property Tax Refund Report attached hereto as Exhibit No. 5.

Budget Amendment – Ranson/Rosedale Park. Commissioner Hines made a motion to approve budget amendment appropriating Capital Reserve fund to the Ranson Rosedale Park for the design and construction document. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Audit Contract. Commissioner Hines made a motion to award the FY2020 Audit Contract to Martin Starnes & Associates. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Contract attached hereto as Exhibit No. 6.

Temporary Road Closure – Hello Huntersville. Commissioner Hines made a motion to adopt Ordinance for Temporary Road Closures for the Hello Huntersville Event. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Ordinance attached hereto as Exhibit No. 7.

Temporary Road Closure – Veterans Day Event. Commissioner Hines made a motion to adopt Ordinance for Temporary Road Closures for the Veterans Day Parade and Event. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Ordinance attached hereto as Exhibit No. 8.

Temporary Road Closure – Huntersville Christmas Event. Commissioner Hines made a motion to adopt Ordinance for Temporary Road Closures for the Huntersville Christmas Event. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Ordinance attached hereto as Exhibit No. 4.

Resolution – Construction and Reimbursement Agreement. The Town will receive an initial \$2,000,000 advance from the City to apply towards the cost of relocation and installation of water and sewer lines. Thereafter funds will be reimbursed to the Town on a quarterly basis. Full reimbursement of the Town's cost is required.

Commissioner Hines made a motion to adopt Resolution of the Town of Huntersville to approve the Utility Construction and Reimbursement Agreement with the City of Charlotte as part of the Main Street Upgrades Project. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Resolution attached hereto as Exhibit No. 9.

Resolution – Main Street Upgrades Project. Commissioner Hines made a motion to adopt Resolution of the Town of Huntersville to approve and ratify Funding Agreement, 1st Supplemental Agreement and 2nd Supplemental Agreement with the North Carolina Department of Transportation for the Main Street Upgrades Project for additional CRTPO funding. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Resolution attached hereto as Exhibit No. 10.

Call for Public Hearing – Petition #ANNEX19-05. Commissioner Hines made a motion to call a public hearing for Monday, March 16, 2020 at 6:00 p.m. at Huntersville Town Hall on Petition #ANNEX19-05, a request by South Creek Construction, Inc. to annex 13.085 acres into the Town of Huntersville. Commissioner Walsh seconded motion. Motion carried unanimously.

CLOSING COMMENTS

Commissioner Phillips noted that the Town of Huntersville hopes to get another \$100,000 from the State to continue research on ocular melanoma and is hopeful that other towns and counties that have been victims to this cancer can find room in their budgets to contribute for environmental research.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Approved this the 2nd day of March, 2020.